arXiv:1704.06883v1 [gr-qc] 23 Apr 2017

Field-Theoretical Formulation of Regge-Teitelboim Gravity

A. A. Sheykin*, S. A. Paston†
Saint Petersburg State University, Saint Petersburg, Russia

Abstract

Theory of gravity is considered in the Regge-Teitelboim approach in which the pseudo-Riemannian space is treated as a surface isometrically embedded in an ambient Minkowski space of higher dimension. This approach is formulated in terms of a field theory in which the original pseudo-Rimannian space is defined by the field constant-value surfaces. The symmetry properties of the proposed theory are investigated, and possible structure of the field-theoretical Lagrangian is discussed.

*E-mail: a.sheykin@spbu.ru
†E-mail: s.paston@spbu.ru

1 Introduction

Formulating a consistent theory of gravity is one of the priorities of contemporary theoretical physics. Einstein's general relativity (GR) is supported by a large number of astrophysical observations, but cannot claim to be the ultimate gravitational theory. Primarily, this is because none of the many attempts to quantize this theory has fully succeeded [1]. On the other hand, the GR predictions are at odds with some observational data, and one has to introduce extra entities like "dark matter" for describing the galactic rotation curves and "dark energy" for interpreting the rate of expansion of the Universe [2]. Therefore, the general relativity has to be extended so as to allow for a consistent quantization and/or to describe the aforementioned phenomena.

One of such extensions is the theory proposed in 1975 by Regge and Teitelboim [3]. In this string-inspired approach the theory of gravity is treated as the theory of a four-dimensional surface embedded in an ambient ten-dimensional Minkowski space. A major asset of this approach is that the ambient space is flat in contrast with the brane theory which attracted much attention in the last years. Indeed, the quantization of gravity is complicated by the "background problem": no canonical quantization is possible on the Minkowski space as a background, whereas the path-integral quantization is plagued by problems with defining the measure. That the ambient Minkowski space is not observable in the Regge-Teitelboim approach allows one to circumvent the background problem and to formulate the concepts required for quantization (such as the preferred time direction and the definition of causality). Apart from this, the dynamic equations of the theory (known as Regge-Teitelboim equations) are more general than Einstein ones and possibly could provide a clue to the concepts of dark matter and dark energy.

Despite some definite advantages with respect to the conventional GR, the considered approach inherits a number of problems mostly associated with introducing the coordinates x^μ on the surface in the ambient space defined by the embedding function. In order to do away with the coordinates in the embedding the ory, it has been proposed [4] to introduce the field $z^A(y^a)$ $(A = 0 \dots 5)$ in the ambient space y^a, $a = 0 \dots 9$. Then, the constant-value surfaces $z^A(y^a) = \text{const}$ (further denoted as $\mathcal{M}$) are four-dimensional surfaces in the embedding space that may be defined without introducing the coordinates. This transition results in a field theory in flat spacetime that may be reduced to the Einstein theory [4]. Rather than require the equivalence to GR, in this paper, we consider a viable form of the Lagrangian in the field-theoretical framework. Upon briefly formulating the discussed splitting theory [4], we proceed to probe the Lagrangian form and to compare the predictions with those of GR.

2 Scalars of the splitting theory

Let us consider a possible Lagrangian form in the splitting theory which involves a set of scalar fields $z^A(y^a)$ defined in the ten-dimensional Minkowski space. An important transformation of the theory is the surface renumbering, which is analogous to diffeomorphism,

$$z^A \to z'^A \equiv f^A(z^B), \tag{1}$$

where f is an arbitrary function. Since the observable quantities should depend on the surface properties rather than the numbering order, the theory should be invariant with respect to this transformation. Let us consider the invariants under this transformation that may be constructed using the variables of the theory.

Since according to (1) no nontrivial invariants may be constructed using the variables z^A, the Lagrangian of the theory should at least involve the derivatives of z^A, i.e., $\partial_a z^A \equiv v_a^A$. The derivatives themselves are not invariant under transformation (1):

$$v_a'^A = \frac{\partial z'^A(y)}{\partial z'^B(y)} v_a^B. \tag{2}$$

The quantities v_a^A and the metric of the ambient space may be used to form the matrix

$$w^{AB} \equiv v_a^A v_b^B \eta^{ab}, \tag{3}$$

which serves as the metric for the directions orthogonal to the surfaces $\mathcal{M}$. The upper and lower indices of the orthogonal space may be raised and lowered using the matrix. w^{AB} and the inverse matrix w_{AB} Using w_{AB}, we then define the projectors to the tangent Π_{ab} and normal $\Pi_{\perp ab}$ spaces:

$$\Pi_{\perp ab} \equiv v_a^A v_b^B w_{AB}, \ \Pi_{ab} = \eta_{ab} - \Pi_{\perp ab}. \tag{4}$$

A direct calculation demonstrates that the projectors are invariant under transformation (1). However, they cannot be used for forming any invariant scalar since $\Pi^2 = \Pi$ and $\Pi\Pi_\perp$=0, so that at least their derivatives are required. Note that the quantity $\Pi_b^d \partial_c \Pi_d^a$ is not affected by transformation (1):

$$\Pi_b^d \partial_c \Pi_d^a \equiv \widehat{b}^a{}_{bc} = \widehat{b}'^a{}_{bc} \tag{5}$$

Thus the third-rank tensor $\widehat{b}^a{}_{bc}$, is the simplest nontrivial invariant tensor of the considered theory (the projector derivative reduces to this tensor since $\partial_c \Pi_b^a = \widehat{b}^a{}_{bc} + \widehat{b}^b{}_{ac}$). Therefore, the simplest nontrivial scalars may be obtained by contracting two such tensors. Taking into account that the tensor $\widehat{b}^a{}_{bc}$ is tangent in the index b and normal in the index a, the possible contractions are

$$\begin{aligned} &I_1 = \widehat{b}^a{}_{bc}\widehat{b}_a{}^{bc}, \ I_2 = \widehat{b}^a{}_{bc}\widehat{b}_a{}^{cb}, \ I_3 = \widehat{b}^a{}_c{}^c\widehat{b}_a{}^b{}_b, \\ &I_4 = \widehat{b}^a{}_{bc}\widehat{b}^c{}_{ba}, \ I_5 = \widehat{b}^a{}_{ba}\widehat{b}^c{}_{bc}, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

while all other contractions are identically equal to zero. The Lagrangian should be scalar under transformations (1), and, therefore, must be a function of the above scalars. If the treatment is restricted to the leading contribution in b, this function may be taken in the form of a linear combination of the scalars I_{1-5}. A calculation shows that the variation of the integral $\int d^{10}y I_1$ is equal to zero up to surface terms.

Invoking the known Gauss formula which interrelates the external and internal geometries and using the expression

$$b^a{}_{bc} = \Pi_b^d \widehat{b}^a{}_{dc} \tag{7}$$

for the second main surface form, it can be shown that the combination of scalars $I_2 - I_3$ represents the scalar curvature of the z^A constant-value surface:

$$R = b^a{}_{bc} b_a{}^{bc} - b^a{}_c{}^c b_a{}^b{}_b. \tag{8}$$

3 Comparison with the Einsteinian dynamics

However, varying the action $\tilde{S} = \int d^{10}yR$ fails to yield the equations analogous to those of Einstein:

$$\frac{\delta\tilde{S}}{\delta z^A} = b_A{}^{ab}G_{ab} + \frac{1}{2}(b_A{}^a{}_aR - v_A^a\partial_aR) + (u_au^a + \bar{\partial}_au^a)b_A{}^b{}_b - (u_au^b + \bar{\partial}_au^b)b_A{}^a{}_b = 0, \quad (9)$$

where $\bar{\partial}_a = \Pi_a^b\partial_b$ and $u_a = \widehat{b^b}_{a\,b}$. In addition to the Einstein tensor, the field equations feature extra terms that cannot be interpreted as part of the Einstein theory. Therefore, the action $\tilde{S}$ has to be modified so as to accommodate the Einstein limit.

The Einstein limit of the theory may be reached under the assumption that the z^A constant-value surfaces do not interact among themselves, i.e., the action represents an integral over z configurations from the action of each separate surface,

$$S = \int d^6zS_{\mathcal{M}}(z), \quad (10)$$

where, temporarily introducing the surface coordinates, we may write

$$S_{\mathcal{M}}(z) = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}(x,z), \quad (11)$$

so that finally we have

$$S = \int d^6zd^4x\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}(x,z), \quad (12)$$

where $\mathcal{L}(x,z)$ is the surface Lagrangian which may now be represented by the scalar curvature R.

To get rid of the x^μ one should perform a transition from the curvilinear basis $\tilde{y}^a = \{x^\mu; z^A\}$ to the Cartesian basis y^a. The Jacobian of this transformation $J = \det\dfrac{\partial\tilde{y}^b}{\partial y^a}$ has to be calculated. To do so, let us consider two mutually inverse matrices

$$Q^{ab} = \frac{\partial\tilde{y}^a}{\partial y^c}\eta^{cd}\frac{\partial\tilde{y}^b}{\partial y^d}, \qquad Q^{-1}_{ab} = \frac{\partial y^c}{\partial\tilde{y}^a}\eta^{cd}\frac{\partial y^d}{\partial\tilde{y}^b}. \quad (13)$$

Obviously, $\det Q = J^2\det\eta$. The minor of the matrix Q

$$\det Q^{AB} = \det\left(\frac{\partial\tilde{y}^A}{\partial y^c}\eta^{cd}\frac{\partial\tilde{y}^B}{\partial y^d}\right) = \det\left(\frac{\partial z^A}{\partial y^c}\eta^{cd}\frac{\partial z^B}{\partial y^d}\right) = \det w^{AB} = w \quad (14)$$

and the minor of Q^{-1}

$$\det Q^{-1}_{\mu\nu} = \det\left(\frac{\partial y^c}{\partial\tilde{y}^\mu}\eta^{cd}\frac{\partial y^d}{\partial\tilde{y}^\nu}\right) = \det\left(\frac{\partial y^c}{\partial x^\mu}\eta^{cd}\frac{\partial y^d}{\partial x^\nu}\right) = \det g_{\mu\nu} = g \quad (15)$$

are known [5] to satisfy the condition

$$\det Q^{-1}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\det Q^{AB}}{\det Q} \Rightarrow g = \frac{w}{J^2\det\eta} = -\frac{w}{J^2} \quad (16)$$

whence we conclude that

$$d^6zd^4x\sqrt{-g} \to d^{10}y|J|\sqrt{-g} = d^{10}y\frac{\sqrt{|w|}}{\sqrt{-g}}\sqrt{-g} = d^{10}y\sqrt{|w|}. \tag{17}$$

The splitting-theory action analogous to that of Einstein-Hilbert is therefore

$$S = \int d^{10}y\sqrt{|w|}R. \tag{18}$$

This expression features the square root of the determinant of the "metrics" w^{AB}, which is not a scalar under transformation (1) but does not violate the covariance of equations of motion with respect to this transformation. This is because the factor $\sqrt{|w|}$ is a weight for the contribution of each surface to the overall action and does not affect the equations of motion as long as there is no interaction among the surfaces. It is straightforward to demonstrate that no other function of the determinant w yields the required Einstein limit. Indeed, if we vary the action

$$S = \int d^{10}y f(w) \tag{19}$$

and require that the equations of motion be analogous to the equations of motion for a surface,

$$b_A{}^c{}_c = 0, \tag{20}$$

this leads to the equation $2wf'' + f' = 0$, which is satisfied only by the functional form $f(w) \sim \sqrt{w}$. Therefore, if the theory of gravity is formulated in terms of a field theory in flat space and the equations of motion are required to satisfy the Einstein limit, to be covariant under transformation (1) , and to involve the lowest nontrivial order in b , the action should have the form

$$S = \int d^{10}y\sqrt{w}(R + \alpha I_1 + \beta(I_2 + I_3) + \gamma I_4 + \delta I_5). \tag{21}$$

Acknowledgements. The work of AAS was supported by SPbU grant N 11.38.223.2015.

References

[1] *Carlip S.* // Rept. Prog. Phys. 2001. V. 64. P. 885. arXiv:gr-qc/0108040.

[2] *Gorbunov D.S.*, *Rubakov V.A.* Introduction to the theory if the early Universe. Cosmological perturbations and inflationary theory. Hackensack, New Jersey: World Scientific, 2011.

[3] *Regge T.*, *Teitelboim C.* General relativity à la string: a progress report // Proceedings of the First Marcel Grossmann Meeting, Trieste, Italy, 1975. Ed. Ruffini R. 1977. P. 77. arXiv:1612.05256.

[4] *Paston S.A.* // Theor. Math. Phys. 2011. V. 169. No. 2. P. 1611. arXiv:1111.1104.

[5] *Gantmacher F. R.* The Theory of Matrices. Vol. I. NY: Chelsea, 1959. P. 15.